

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/566,630	01/31/2006	Noriyuki Sakoh	277513US6PCT	7218	
OBLON SPIX	7590 05/07/200 /AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE S	TREET	JACOB	ЈАСОВ, АЛТН		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2161		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/07/2008	ELECTRONIC .	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/566,630	SAKOH ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	AJITH JACOB	2161		

	AJITH JACOB	2161					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. Me reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply re-ceived by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> </ol>			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);					
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better</li> </ul>		I in					
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	planation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	ided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
/Apu M Mofiz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

This action is responsive to applicant's arguments filed on March 28, 2008 that has been fully considered, but they are not persuasive.

The addition of a display to claim 1 and 11 does not overcome the need of a hardware to make the device tangible. A display can be created in software form. For example, the statistics of a song showing on a software media player on a computer is called a "display", but it is in software form. Thus the 101 rejection for claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-4 are maintained.

Applicant argues that Odamura et al. (GB 2,360,912 A) does not teach any means for obtaining partial text data with a second length that is smaller than the first length and corresponding to a width of a display area, out of the at least one piece of text act found by the search means, from the database, and to display the partial text data on the display area as recited in claims 1,5,6, and 11. Applicant also argues that Odamura does not describe truncating data based on the width of the display, only the height. The division of data taught by Odamura et al. to fit the range for the display clearly teaches the width of the partial text received to always be smaller that the display area [page 10, lines 13-19]. Odamura et al. also teaches the range of display to be defined [page 7, lines 20-27], thus its inherently taught that the width could be beyond the displayed length of the page, thus requiring scrolling to access the end of the text. In that case, display would be truncation by width of page and not the height. For claims 2,3, 12 and 13 applicant claims that the horizontal scrolling of the display area is not taught by the reference. Odamura et al. clearly teaches the adjustment of the data transmitted by base station to be in accordance to capacity of data that can be displayed on display unit [page 12, lines 4-21]. This inherently teaches the ability of the display unit to display data according to its capacity, which is not constraint to any certain length and thus be capable of scrolling.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ajrith Jacob whose telephone number is 571-270-1763. The examiner can normally be reached on MF-730-500 EST, Every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on 571-272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, onclat the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-27-19-197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AJ Patent Examiner April 30, 2008